

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/593,876	09/22/2006	Philippe Robert	129533	5467	
25944 7590 06/30/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320850			THOMAS, BRADLEY H		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2835		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/30/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/593,876	ROBERT, PHILIPPE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BRADLEY H. THOMAS	2835		

	w Summary	Paper	No. 20080626
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	/Bradley H. Thomas/ Examiner, Art Unit 2835 Examiner's signature, if require	red	
4×5			
requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to II GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT	he last Office action has already R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ITERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP / DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS 'HIS LATER, TO
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amerallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments that w		
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Shee</u>		if an agreement	was
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Identification of prior art discussed: Nelson (US 2004/006	<u>61579)</u> .		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	•]	
Date of Interview: 26 June 2008.			
(2) Jayprakash Gandhi (SPE).	(4) Aaron Webb (56930).		
(1) BRADLEY H. THOMAS.	(3) <u>HaiMei Jiang</u> .		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):		
	BRADLEY H. THOMAS	2835	
	Laminer	Air Olin	{

Application No. 10/593,876

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 1 was discussed in regards to the rejection via Nelson. In particular, the attachment of the membrane to the substrate was discussed, as well as the contact arm remaining substantially parallel to the substrate and the high deformation areas of the contact arm. Potential amendments to the claims were discussed.